

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,075	09/09/2003	Dennie J.M. van den Heuvel	SYN-0031D1	9183
38427 75	90 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
MARK R. BUSCHER P.O. BOX 161			CHOI, FRANK 1	
CATHARPIN, VA 20143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,075	VAN DEN HEUVEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank I Choi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTH/O) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	August 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 41-57 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 41-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,075

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-056700 in view of Patel et al. (US Pat. 6,248,363) and Wear et al. (US Pat. 4,640,020).

JP 06-056700 discloses a method of preparing a spherical pellet by adding the medicine, crystalline cellulose, water and other components into an agitator/chopper (paragraphs 0008-0026). It is disclosed that extrusion did not result in uniform sphericity and that spraying involved a long process and for fine grains had bad coating effectiveness (paragraphs 0004,0005).

Patel et al. discloses methods of preparing pellets by combining solvent, such as water, pharmaceutically active agent, such as zolpidem or salt thereof, and microcrystalline cellulose and drying through utilizing appropriate drying processes such as vacuum evaporation, heating etc. (Column 6, lines 7-11, Column 36, lines 40, Column 45, lines 40-68, Column 46, lines 16-62).

Wear et al. discloses a process and apparatus for drying granular or nodular products, such as pharmaceuticals using microwave energy which is especially suitable for drying temperature sensitive products (Column 1, lines 5-16, Column 2, lines 38-40). It is disclosed that nitrogen gas is used to purge the moisture driven from the product (Column 11, lines 34-53).

Art Unit: 1616

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does note expressly disclose the formation of pellets by combining a solvent and pharmceutical agent, carrier to form a wet mixture, wherein the solvent is not combined by spraying, stirring, chopping or both to form pellets and drying. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as processes for forming pellets are disclosed by the cited prior art including the process described above. As such, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art as desired with the expectation that the process would form suitable pharmaceutical pellets.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Gary Kunz, can be reached at 571-272-0887. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600. FIC

October 30, 2004

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER